

I. OCTOBER 2021 BUSINESS MEETING

A. Meeting Convocation

1. Meeting called to order at 7:50 am.
2. Both in person and zoom participants were in attendance.
3. Preamble & 4th tradition read.
4. Chair noted an email had gone out via a Fastbreak mailing list. Told members to email him if they did not receive it and would like to be on the mailing list.

B. Call for Reports

1. Secretary's Report:
 - a. Chair called for a motion to review modifications to the in person meeting as per guidelines for the September business meeting: The modifications were reviewed and accepted.
 - b. Joe B. called for a motion to form a working group to assess the impacts (financial, legal, operational) of splitting the digital and physical meetings in two: The group was formed.
2. Treasurer's Report:
 - a. Starting balance: \$12,605.90.
 - i. Prior amount collected: \$3,675.30 (\$91.00 pink can; \$3584.30 7th tradition & literature).
 - ii. Amount dispersed for expenses: \$3,366.55 (zoom fee & rent).
 - b. Ending balance: \$12,914.65.
 - c. Prudent reserve: \$10,000.00.
 - d. Funds available for distribution: \$2,914.65.
 - e. Motion made to approve report.
 - i. No discussion ensued.
 - ii. Move to accept motion.
 - iii. Motion accepted.
3. GSR Report: Elliot S. reported attendance at his first Mtg wherein emphasis was placed on the structure of Grapevine (i.e., Who funds it, SENY efforts to sustain it, and it's need for support).
4. Intergroup Delegates Report: Joe B. reported the planning of the virtual Bill W. dance, and a discussion among delegates of in person vs. zoom meetings.

C. Old Business

1. Joe B. confirmed that the working group met to review the financial, legal, logistical and service structure implications of splitting the in person and zoom meetings.
 - a. Financial: Splitting banks; Splitting Venmo; Establishing separate prudent reserves, and; The question of whether the in person meeting could be financially self sustaining.
 - b. Legal: No issue identified.
 - c. Logistical: Naming the respective meetings; Separating emails, Potentially cloning websites; How to handle historical records, and; How to register each group if they split.
 - d. Service Structure: Electing separate officials and Designating each service structure.
2. Chair opened discussion of the working group's findings.
 - a. Reactions varied:
 - i. Terrible idea, smacks of exclusivity and not inclusivity, "What is the problem we are trying to solve?"
 - ii. Disappointment that there is inconsistency with scripts and service commitments on zoom meetings vs. in person meetings.
 - iii. Request for a motion to create a chair for the zoom meeting.
 - iv. Acrimony ensued over raising the question of a separate chair for each meeting.
 - v. Calls for a motion to vote on separation.
 - vi. Calls for restraint and a desire to have the working group continue working.
 - vii. Concern that "We keep kicking the can down the line" and need to be decisive.
 - b. Chair requested that the motion be tabled until November 1st business meeting.

D. New Business: None reported.

E. Meeting Adjourned: Serenity prayer recited.